



PO Box 480 2720 Sumner Ave. Aberdeen, WA 98520-0109  
360-532-4220 FAX 360-532-6085 1-800-562-7726

Thursday, October 24, 2013

Mr. Stephen Oliver  
U.S. Entity Coordinator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
United States Entity  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Mr. David Ponganis  
U.S. Entity Coordinator  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
United States Entity  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Oliver and Mr. Ponganis:

Public Utility District No. 1 of Grays Harbor County (Grays Harbor PUD ) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the U.S. Entity's September 20, 2013 Draft Recommendation for the future of the Columbia River Treaty (Treaty). Grays Harbor PUD serves approximately 42,000 Pacific Northwest electric customers that depend on an affordable supply of electricity generated from the Federal Columbia River Power System. Grays Harbor County has one of the highest unemployment rates in the state, and our few large employers are in a constant struggle to remain viable. An affordable supply of electricity from the Federal Columbia River Power System is crucial to our economy which is primarily based on timber and fishing industries.

Grays Harbor PUD appreciates the U.S. Entity's efforts, since issuing the June 27, 2013 working draft recommendation, to better understand the concerns and interests of Northwest Electric Customers related to its effort to develop a regional recommendation for the U.S. Department of State (State Department). However, Grays Harbor PUD's principal concerns are not adequately addressed in the Draft Recommendation: (1) prioritizing the Canadian Entitlement as the most important issue to address in any renegotiated Treaty; and (2) fully accounting for significant ongoing efforts in the Columbia River and its tributaries for fish and wildlife in any proposal to expand the Treaty to include ecosystem function. Grays Harbor PUD urges the U.S. Entity to closely examine and fully address these issues when developing its final recommendation to the State Department.

### **Canadian Entitlement**

The Canadian Entitlement was negotiated a half-century ago. The methodology for calculating this Entitlement no longer reflects current conditions, and it leads to a significantly inequitable result, detrimentally affecting Grays Harbor PUD and Northwest electric customers. Accordingly, Grays Harbor PUD strongly believes that rebalancing the Canadian Entitlement must be the single most important objective in the U.S. Entity's final recommendation to the State Department. Properly rebalancing the Entitlement in a

manner that does not exceed one-half of the actual incremental power benefit achieved through a coordinated U.S./Canada operation will reduce burdens on Northwest electric customers and expand the availability of clean hydroelectric power in the region. The Entitlement is an inherently international issue, and it can be resolved *only* between the U.S. and Canada. It is also a very important economic issue for this region.

Unfortunately, the Draft Recommendation seems to lose sight of the singular importance of the Canadian Entitlement by promoting Treaty expansion to include ecosystem function. Grays Harbor PUD is troubled by the U.S. Entity's proposed shift in Treaty priority. While Grays Harbor PUD is proud of its environmental stewardship, fish and wildlife resources are protected and managed through robust federal and state regulatory programs. By contrast, resolution of the Canadian Entitlement is an international issue that must be resolved between the U.S. and Canada. As such, the U.S. Entity—instead of exerting significant resources on domestic ecosystem issues—should be focused intently on analyzing the problems in the current Entitlement methodology, identifying possible solutions for correcting these problems, and developing a recommendation for resolution with Canada at the earliest possible time.

Grays Harbor PUD urges the U.S. Entity to clearly promote the rebalancing of the Canadian Entitlement as the paramount priority in its final recommendation to the State Department.

### **Ecosystem Function**

Grays Harbor PUD is concerned that the ecosystem function described in the Draft Recommendation is vague and does not adequately account for the substantial investments of time and resources which Grays Harbor PUD and others have undertaken for the protection and management of wildlife and fish resources. The uncertainties associated with ecosystem function, as presented in the Draft recommendation, present significant risk to these important programs and the corresponding investments incurred by electric customers in the Northwest.

Substantial programs have been implemented in the Columbia River and its tributaries to protect and manage fish and wildlife resources—at a significant cost to Northwest electric customers. Grays Harbor PUD is concerned that any proposal to inject ecosystem functions at the Treaty level could have unintended consequences, interfering with the well-established and ongoing efforts, and detrimentally affecting the very resources that a Treaty-imposed ecosystem function would seek to protect.

For this reason, any final Recommendation to the State Department related to ecosystem function should protect Northwest electric customers' substantial investments in fish and wildlife by carefully accounting for all ongoing efforts in the Basin, and the U.S. Entity should ensure that its Recommendation does not inadvertently conflict with, undermine, or disrupt ongoing programs. Such efforts include the Federal Columbia River Power System Biological Opinion, the Columbia Basin Fish Accords, Habitat Conservation

Plans, and requirements of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission hydropower project licenses.

Grays Harbor PUD also believes the U.S. Entity should consider the following issues as well when developing its final recommendation to the State Department:

- **Non-treaty storage agreements and ecosystem actions:** Grays Harbor PUD believes there may be benefits for both the U.S. and Canada in bringing existing non-Treaty actions such as storage agreements into a renegotiated framework; however, this may trigger a more formal review and approval process.
- **Fish passage:** Grays Harbor PUD believes the proposed construction and operation of fish passage facilities at Chief Joseph and Grand Coulee dams is a domestic issue best addressed through domestic laws and regulations. A reconnaissance study regarding fish passage to Canada would require Congressional authorization and appropriations, and should not be included in negotiations.
- **Flood risk management:** Grays Harbor PUD places a high priority on public safety, and we believe a modernized Treaty should maintain flood management similar to current levels. Funding should be consistent with national flood risk policy, with local cost-sharing as appropriate.
- **Climate change:** Grays Harbor PUD believes any “adaptive management” or “mitigation” activities, as contemplated in the Draft Recommendation, should respond to scientifically demonstrable adverse changes in ecosystem resources or hydropower production clearly attributable to climate conditions.
- **Irrigation and water supply:** Grays Harbor PUD believes a modernized Treaty should not change flows to the detriment of existing water rights established under state or federal law. Additionally, any future decisions pertaining to water supply must include holders of existing rights.
- **Navigation:** Grays Harbor PUD believes any proposed changes in flows should be studied in detail to determine any potential impact on river navigation, including operational restrictions and river dredging.
- **Domestic matters:** Grays Harbor PUD believes that Columbia River power producers should be represented in any Domestic Advisory Forum which the State Department creates. Our customers pay for the Canadian Entitlement each year, and will be directly impacted in every subsequent year if the Entitlement is not rebalanced.
- **Additional areas of discussion for the U.S. and Canada:** Grays Harbor PUD strongly believes that language from the June 27 working draft recommendation regarding “other options” if agreement on key principles is not obtained by next

year should be reinserted into the Final Recommendation of the U.S. Entity to the State Department. The Treaty contemplates termination after sixty years, and this option should remain available in engaging with Canada.

**Conclusion**

The Draft Recommendation attempts to address several issues raised by Grays Harbor PUD and other stakeholders, in both written comments and in meetings with the U.S. Entity. We appreciate the work which has gone into the revision process. However, Grays Harbor PUD believes the Canadian Entitlement must be the highest priority in the U.S. Entity's final recommendation, as this issue can be resolved *only* through negotiations between the U.S. and Canada. Additionally, ongoing fish and wildlife programs should be fully analyzed and protected in any final recommendation to the State Department related to ecosystem function.

Grays Harbor PUD appreciates the opportunity to comment on this important matter. We encourage the U.S. Entity and the State Department to continue to work with the Columbia River Treaty Power Group, of which Grays Harbor PUD is a member. Grays Harbor PUD remains ready to discuss these issues in greater depth, and to work towards reaching a truly regional final recommendation.

Sincerely,



David A. Ward  
General Manager