

Transmitted Electronically
treatyreview@bpa.gov

October 25, 2013

CRT Review (DKE)
P.O. Box 14428
Portland, Oregon 97293

U.S. Entity Coordinators, Columbia River Treaty:
Mr. Stephen Oliver Mr. David Ponganis
Bonneville Power Administration U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Northwestern Division

Gentlemen,

The following is a response from Lower Valley Energy regarding your September 20, 2013 “Columbia River Treaty Review Draft Regional Recommendation.” Lower Valley Energy is a public preference customer of BPA that relies upon the generation assets of the Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) as the primary source of federal power supply. Because roughly 90% of this power comes from the FCRPS dams and other related generating facilities, Lower Valley Energy has a vested interest in the future of the Columbia River Treaty with Canada.

Lower Valley Energy is also a member of the trade association Northwest Requirements Utilities (NRU). Lower Valley Energy supports the more detailed comments submitted by NRU, as well as the comments of the broader based Power Group that represents the interests of a diverse group of customers that have a stake in Treaty related FCRPS operations. Given the critical impact the Columbia River Treaty could have on the value of our future power supply and related local economic health, we wanted to share our general concerns with the September 20th draft as stated below. A number of changes are necessary before our utility could support the document.

While the September 20, 2013 draft is the last formal document issued by the U.S. Entity, we recognize that the Entity has conducted a number of public meetings and has engaged in more detailed discussions with the Power Group to try to incorporate concerns that public preference customers have expressed about the draft. We strongly encourage those discussions to continue in the weeks ahead. We also support the U.S. Entity keeping the process on schedule so that a regional recommendation can be developed later this fall. In that context, we will rely on NRU and the Power Group to represent our interests in negotiating the specific wording of the

document. However, based on your request for comments, we believe it is important to highlight at a summary level some of our key concerns about the September 20th draft recommendations.

- The document contains a set of “General Principles.” It is important to stress that the General Principles be taken together as a group such that they are collectively satisfied. In particular the implementation of any ecosystem-based functions should not prevent the region from achieving the primary objective of reducing U.S. power costs.
- Any payments for flood risk management should be consistent with the national flood risk funding policy of federal funding with applicable local beneficiaries sharing costs as appropriate. We should maintain the current level of flood risk for people in the basin.
- A revised treaty needs to incorporate the best available science and other important documents such as the FCRPS Biological Opinion. There is no stated justification in the draft for increasing flow augmentation in the spring and summer at the expense of fall and winter power generation. Significant changes in seasonal flow augmentation can have an adverse impact on customers. Any modifications to flow must have a scientific basis and not undermine the rebalancing of economic interests we are trying to achieve.
- We are concerned that the eco-system based functions create an impression that the region is going to undertake a process to examine reintroduction of anadromous fish on the main stem of the Columbia to Canadian spawning grounds. We believe this issue is outside of the scope of treaty negotiations and requires much more regional discussion.
- If the U.S. and Canada are unable to achieve agreement on key aspects of a modernized treaty by 2015, other options should be evaluated.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding these comments.

Sincerely,



James R. Webb, President/CEO
Lower Valley Energy

CC:

John Saven, CEO, NRU